

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,453	12/04/2003	Loyd E. East JR.	2003-IP-011867UI	7134
75	90 06/02/2006		EXAMINER	
Robert A. Ken	ıt		SMITH, MA	ATTHEW J
Halliburton Ene 2600 S. 2nd Stre	arton Energy Services 2 2nd Street ART UNIT PAPER NUM			PAPER NUMBER
Duncan, OK 73536-0440			3672	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/727,453	EAST ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew J. Smith	3672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	April 2006.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13,15-18,20-23 and 25-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13,15-18,20-23 and 25-28</u> is/a	re rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	reu.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 6, 8, 13, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Montgomery et al. (6024171).

Montgomery et al. disclose producing gas from a coal seam comprising: drilling a vertical well 12 that intersects a seam 18; bi-wing fracturing the seam ("opposing ... perforations" 38, 40; col. 5, line 28) below the fracture pressure, via cavitation (col. 4, lines 46-47), along a plane of maximum stress (col. 4, lines 65-68) by a hydrajet 34; eroding the seam via a hydrajet 34a, 34b; and performing additional fracturing after the below-fracture- pressure hydrajetting (col. 5, line 34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3672

Claims 2, 3, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montgomery et al. in view of Surjaatmadja (5765642).

Montgomery et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed but not casing, drilling at least one horizontal well bore into the coal seam, or fracturing the coal seam along the horizontal well bore using a hydrajetting tool.

Surjaatmadja teaches using a hydrajetting tool 14 to perforate casing and drilling at least one horizontal well bore 46 into the coal seam.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Montgomery et al. method to include casing and perforate the casing, as taught by Surjaatmadja, in order to complete the well and for maintaining stability in the area of the coal seam.

With regards to claims 9 and 10, it would have been further obvious to provide casing in a horizontal well bore and perforate the horizontal casing with the hydrajetting tool since it is well known to complete horizontal well bores with casing and subsequently perforate the horizontal casing in order to produce the well along a longer interval.

Claims 4, 5, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montgomery et al. in view of Zupanick (6280000).

Application/Control Number: 10/727,453

Art Unit: 3672

Montgomery et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed but not removing water, or logging the well bore; and performing additional fracturing after the below fracture pressure hydrajetting (col. 5, line 34).

Zupanick presents removing water (col. 1, line 51) and logging (col. 1, line 60) a coal seam 12 in a horizontal well bore.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove water and log the Montgomery et al. hydrajetted well, as presented by Zupanick, in order to drain the coal seam (Zupanick, col. 1, line 63) and identify the coal seam (Zupanick, col. 1, line 60).

Claims 15-18, 20-23, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montgomery et al. in view of Surjaatmadja and Zupanick.

Montgomery et al. disclose producing gas from a coal seam comprising: drilling a vertical well 12 that intersects a seam 18; bi-wing fracturing the seam ("opposing ... perforations" 38, 40; col. 5, line 28) below the fracture pressure, via cavitation (col. 4, lines 46-47), along a plane of maximum stress (col. 4, lines 65-68) by a hydrajet 34; eroding via a hydrajet 34a, 34b; and performing additional fracturing after the below-fracture- pressure hydrajetting (col. 5, line 34) but not casing, drilling at least one horizontal well bore into the coal seam, or fracturing the coal seam along the horizontal well bore using a hydrajetting tool.

Surjaatmadja teaches using a hydrajetting tool 14 to perforate casing and drilling at least one horizontal well bore 46 into the coal seam.

Zupanick presents removing water (col. 1, line 51) and logging (col. 1, line 60) a coal seam 12 in a horizontal well bore.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Montgomery et al. method to include casing and perforate the casing, as taught by Surjaatmadja, in order to complete the well for maintaining stability in the area of the coal seam plus to remove water and log the Montgomery et al. hydrajetted well, as presented by Zupanick, in order to drain the coal seam (Zupanick, col. 1, line 63) and identify the coal seam (Zupanick, col. 1, line 60), respectively.

It would have been further obvious to provide casing in the horizontal well bore and perforate the casing with the hydrajetting tool since it is well known to complete horizontal well bores with casing and subsequently perforate the horizontal casing in order to produce the well along a longer interval.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 18 April 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Montgomery et al. discussion of additional fracturing to further weaken the formation (col. 5, lines 34-35) is considered consistent with the disclosure to

Application/Control Number: 10/727,453 Page 6

Art Unit: 3672

utilize cavitation. The examiner contends Montgomery et al. anticipates hydrajetting the formation as often as necessary to produce the well -up to the point of creating a cavity in the formation.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nguyen (7017665) show hydrajetting a slot. Aud (7032671) discuss fracture penetration.

.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-7034. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F, 9-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/727,453 Page 7

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Bagnell

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3672

MJS MJS 5 May 2006